A terror attack allegedly carried out by right-wing extremists killed 13 people and wounded another 200 at Munich’s Oktoberfest in 1980. The attack prompted a thorough analysis of the rise of right-wing radicalism in the Federal Republic. Right-wing radicalism covered a broad spectrum from the reactionary National Democratic Party to neo-Nazi youth gangs. The following article appeared in the Swiss newspaper Neue Zürcher Zeitung.

Is Right-Wing Extremism Being Underestimated in the Federal Republic of Germany?
The Munich Bombing Was a Sign

On the evening of September 26, just before 10:30 PM, a deadly bomb exploded amidst the festive, beer-induced party atmosphere of Munich’s Oktoberfest. The bomb attack took place directly in front of the main entrance to the Oktoberfest grounds, where approximately 200,000 people were gathered at that moment; it cost thirteen people their lives and injured more than two hundred others, some seriously. The circumstances surrounding this bloody event have yet to be thoroughly clarified. It was clear from the beginning that it was a terrorist attack, and it was only a matter of hours before the bureau of investigation discovered clues pointing to a suspect in the right-wing extremist scene. According to Federal Prosecutor General [Kurt] Rebmann, who is leading the investigation, there is now virtually no doubt that the bomb was planted by 22-year-old geology student Gundolf Köhler, who was himself torn to pieces in the blast. Traces of paint and metal particles were found in the basement of Köhler’s parents’ home in Donaueschingen, greatly compounding suspicions that the explosives had been assembled there. Köhler had had at least temporary contact with the right-wing extremist “Defense Sport Group Hoffmann” [Wehrsportgruppe Hoffmann], which was banned at the beginning of this year. Karl-Heinz Hoffmann, the leader of this group, which gained press notoriety above all through its paramilitary field exercises and Nazi slogans, was arrested after the attack, along with six of his supporters. They were released shortly thereafter, however, because there was not enough evidence linking them to the Munich bombing.

Unanswered Questions

Above all, two key questions remain unanswered: Did the alleged perpetrator Gundolf Köhler act alone or in collaboration with accomplices, and what was the precise motive for the bloody attack? The bureau of criminal investigation still favors the hypothesis that Köhler, the
suspected perpetrator, did not act entirely alone, although no concrete evidence in support of this theory has been presented. As far as the motive is concerned, speculations range from deliberate suicide – Gundolf Köhler was mired in personal difficulties – to a far-reaching, right-wing extremist terrorist conspiracy against German democracy in the heated lead-up to the Bundestag elections. By now, reports and statements treat it as a virtually incontrovertible truth that the Munich blood bath had its roots in the right-wing extremist scene, although the parents of the alleged perpetrator have protested, somewhat justifiably, that the press is labeling him guilty beyond doubt before a formal verdict has been rendered.

[ . . . ]

Creating Public Awareness

[ . . . ]

Recent events make clear that individual right-wing activists no longer content themselves with circumscribed acts of violence; rather, they proceed directly to murderous terrorist attacks. Over the course of the past year – in addition to the Munich attack – there have been at least six bomb attacks on Jewish memorials or admissions centers for foreigners. In August, two Vietnamese refugees were killed during an arson attack on a Hamburg dormitory for foreigners. Thankfully, the perpetrators of this crime have been arrested. Most of them were members of the so-called German Action Groups [Deutsche Aktionsgruppen]. The head of this right-wing extremist organization is the former lawyer Manfred Röder, who has also been taken into custody in the meantime. In particular, these violent acts against foreigners point to a new political line of attack for right-wing extremist agitation, the potential impact of which is unsettling.

According to the most recent report by the German Office for the Protection of the Constitution (BfV) for the year 1979, the total number of right-wing extremist organizations is continuing to drop slowly, along with their membership rolls. This statistical trend is mainly attributable to the continued decline of the National Democratic Party of Germany (NPD), which presents itself in a democratic guise. With around 8,000 party members, it accounts for about half of the total membership of all right-wing extremist groups. In the Bundestag elections of October 5, the NPD received 67,000 votes, a result that put its total share of the vote at about 0.2 percent, about half of what it was four years ago. In 1969, the NPD received 4.3 percent of the vote and thus only narrowly missed the threshold needed to win seats in the Bundestag. Thus, as a political alternative within the framework of democratic, parliamentarian rules, the extreme right seems to have become virtually insignificant in today’s Federal Republic.

1,400 Activists

The opposite trend, however, can be seen in the country’s estimated thirty militant neo-Nazi groups, whose activist membership base, according to the German Office for the Protection of
the Constitution, comprised 1,400 people at the end of 1979, a clear increase – 40 percent – from 1978. Last year it was estimated that the hardcore nucleus of these right-wing fanatics – who are increasingly conspiratorial in their plotting and who have, in some cases, moved on to openly terrorist crimes – comprised approximately 300 people. This circle might have expanded significantly in the meantime. Right-wing extremist excesses have also risen dramatically in the last three years; this category, however, also includes minor offenses such as displaying Nazi emblems (about 1,500 incidents were registered in 1979 – 50 percent more than in the previous year). The number of serious cases of right-wing extremist violence also doubled within a year, rising to 117. Courts and investigative agencies have reacted to this development, however: there were 365 convictions last year for offenses linked to right-wing extremism. In the trial against the terrorist group led by the German army lieutenant Michael Kühnen, who wanted to resurrect the Nazi Party, long prison sentences of four to eleven years were imposed for the first time. At the moment, several hundred preliminary proceedings are being held in connection with incidences of right-wing extremism. The objection that is sometimes raised – that a certain blindness prevails in the Federal Republic when it comes to the dangers of the right – seems to be misplaced, at least with respect to the German judiciary.

There are, however, those politicians who cannot easily dodge the charge of having underestimated the growing danger of right-wing extremist activities. Until the Munich blood bath, they had more or less openly charged Interior Minister [Gerhart] Baum, who is responsible for security services, with deliberately exaggerating the dimensions of right-wing extremism in order to divert attention away from his own lack of success in combating left-wing terrorism. It was surely ill-advised for Bavarian Interior Minister [Gerold] Tandler to have criticized his Bonn colleague Baum at the beginning of September for having exaggerated the “shadow threat” of right-wing extremism beyond what was actually warranted by reality. Earlier quotes on right-wing extremism by [Franz Josef] Strauss have also been in circulation. After the Munich blast, these quotes make it seem as though he downplayed the danger, and they most probably hurt him severely in the final phase of the election campaign.

Agitation against foreigners

Until the most recent terrorist attacks, however, it was difficult, even for neutral observers, to regard neo-Nazi agitation as anything more than the confused sectarianism of a few hopelessly isolated, small clusters. It is true that the right-wing extremist weekly Deutsche National-Zeitung has been published for years for a readership of about 100,000, and that a dense journalistic thicket of extremist off-shoots has been thriving in this right-wing corner. But for a long time, the primitive slogans of the extreme right – which reveal no cohesive concept, but rather only a confused mishmash of theatrical Nazi glorification, rabid anti-Semitism and anti-Communism, as well as a disdainful rejection of parliamentary democracy – have barely found any broad resonance beyond the circle of old Nazis, which is already shrinking for biological reasons alone.
Even in the 1970s, when more young people started surfacing among the ranks of violent neo-Nazi groups, no one really believed in the existence of a *milieu of sympathizers* that had to be taken seriously, something comparable to the left-wing terrorist milieu that sparked such heated discussion in this country. It the meantime, however, the militant right seems to have expanded its spiritual base – which, quantitatively speaking, was also very limited up to this point – through *focused agitation against foreigners* in the Federal Republic. West Germany is presently home to almost 4.5 million foreigners (about 7.5 percent of the total population), who, in view of the increasingly dismal economic prospects and growing unemployment that many Germans face, are less readily accepted than in the earlier boom years. The foreigner problem has been exacerbated by the overwhelming tide of *asylum seekers*, mostly from *Turkey* and the *Third World*. It is estimated that well over 100,000 of them will come this year alone. Societal displeasure with these developments is erupting here and there, and in terms of explosive potential, this doubtless provides right-wing extremist fanatics with their most dangerous tool.
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